

E-filing

FILED

NOV 23 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYNOPSIS, INC.,

No. C 03-2289 MJJ

No. C 03-4669 MJJ

Plaintiff.

v.

ORDER

RICOH CO., LTD.

Defendant.

RICOH CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.


AEROFLEX INC., et al.,

Defendants.

On October 21, 2004, the parties jointly submitted a list of ten terms, phrases, and/or clauses for the Court's construction. Given that the ten terms selected for construction are not identical to the terms previously briefed by the parties, the Court hereby orders that each party submit to the Court a proposed definition for each of the ten terms. The parties should also clarify for the Court the source within the intrinsic record that supports their proposed construction (i.e. col. 2:32-40).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 23, 2004


MARTIN J. JENKINS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE